

# WPMC 2019

## Report from Organizing Committee

Instituições Associadas



ISCTE-IUL  
25<sup>th</sup> November 2019



instituto de  
telecomunicações

# Number of papers

	<i>Pending</i>	<b>Accepted</b>	<b>Rejected</b>	<b>Withdrawn</b>	<b>Total Valid</b>	<b>Acceptance Ratio (%)</b>
<b>Total for Xplore</b>	<i>15</i>	<b>89</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>64.0 %</b>
<b>Regular Sessions</b>	<i>8</i>	<b>62</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>63.3%</b>
<b>Special Sess. XPlore</b>	<i>7</i>	<b>27</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>65.8%</b>
<b>Special Sess. Invited</b>	<i>0</i>	<b>12</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<i>-</i>	<b>101</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Special Sess. Oral Pres.</b>		<b>9</b>				

# Authors by country (EDAS)

Countries	Asia Pacific	Europe	Middle East & Africa	North America	Latin America
<b>26</b>	<b>52.8%</b>	<b>42.1%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>

## Top eight Countries

Japan	Portugal	Thailand	China	India	Denmark	UK	USA
<b>30.2%</b>	<b>19.5%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>3.1%</b>

# Review Process

Steps	Responsible Person	Remarks
1. Assignment of Topic Chairs	Technical Program Chair	
2. Paper Screening	Topic Chairs	Chair of each topic roughly screened the papers in his/her topic and decided which are approved to be reviewed
3. Reviewer Invitation	Topic Chairs	Chair of each topic invite at least 3 reviewers from the database of ICT experts for 139 papers
4. Collection of Review Results	Topic Chairs, Chairs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Chair of each topic collected the results from reviewers. In case of late reply, chair sent reminding notice to reviewers. If they do not reply, additional reviewers were invited.</li> <li>• Collection stopped when 3 reviews were collected</li> </ul>
5. Results Notification	Topics Chairs, Chairs	<p>Before the notification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Results were ranked based on the value of <math>0.3 \times \text{timeliness/relevance} + 0.5 \times \text{Novelty/Originality} + 0.3 \times \text{Presentation/Readability} + 0.4 \times \text{Impact} + 1.0 \times \text{Overall Rating}</math>.</li> <li>• Topic chairs discussed with the chairs to decide the acceptance based on the reviews, defining a threshold value for the ranking (6.0 was used for most of the sessions).</li> </ul>

# Number of participants

	1 day	All days		1 day	All days	Conference
<b>Student / IEEE</b>	-	11		-	8.9%	<b>29.8%</b>
<b>Student</b>	1	25		0.8%	20.2%	
<b>IEEE</b>	1	44		0.8%	35.5%	<b>64.6%</b>
<b>Full</b>	3	32		2.4%	25.8%	
<b>Tutorial only</b>	7	-		5.6%	-	<b>5.6%</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>112</b>		<b>9.7%</b>	<b>90.3%</b>	<b>100%</b>

# Participants by country


<b>Countries</b>	<b>Asia Pacific</b>	<b>Europe</b>	<b>Middle East &amp; Africa</b>	<b>North America</b>	<b>Latin America</b>
<b>23</b>	<b>55.6%</b>	<b>37.1%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>2.4%</b>

## Top eight Countries

<b>Japan</b>	<b>Portugal</b>	<b>Thailand</b>	<b>Turkey</b>	<b>Denmark</b>	<b>China</b>	<b>India</b>	<b>UK</b>
<b>36.3%</b>	<b>13.7%</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>4.0%</b>	<b>4.0%</b>

# Sessions

<b>Tutorial</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9.7%</b>
<b>Keynote</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>25.8%</b>
<b>Technical Session</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>35.5%</b>
<b>Special Session</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>22.6%</b>
<b>Workshop</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>6.5%</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>100%</b>



# Paper awards

- 4 Regular Best Paper Award
- 4 Student Best Paper Award
- 1 Best Student Entrepreneur Award

